than any person who might be polled on the street as to the qualifications of those judges, and very little in the way of methods of finding out about the qualifications of the judges; so what we are asking for here in many instances is an opinion that was not an informed opinion, and then we are asking voters to make judgments on the basis of an uninformed opinion.

Finally, there is the problem of the return on a poll. Now, I have been in jurisdictions where the Bar has been polled in the selection of judges, and this was the organized Bar in that jurisdiction which would be expected to give the greatest return because it would have the greatest difference, and in those cases a majority of the Bar did not respond to the poll.

Now, here you have a question of asking a majority of a minority of the Bar its opinion of a sitting judge.

It just does not seem to me from the point of view of effective techniques, of finding out what the lawyers in the jurisdictions think, a very useful method of determining even that, let alone basing a public judgment on the retention of a judge on what the Bar thinks of him or allegedly